IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.365 OF 1995

IN

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.764 OF 1992

IN

C.R.A. NO.902 OF 1990

Avinash Anant More ...Petitioner

Versus

Ajit Kumar Ganesh Raje & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

None for Petitioner.

Ms.S.M.Paranjape i/b Mr.S.M.Kamble for Respondent No.10.

Mr.A.R.Patil, A.G.P. for Respondent No.12.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

MARCH 7, 2006.

P.C.

1. None appears for the Petitioner.

2. Grievance is made that even in the past,

petitioner did not appear whereas, the Respondents are appearing before the Court through Counsel and also in person in terms of order dated April 21, 2005. Besides, it is stated that the allegation to initiate contempt action is founded on orders passed in proceedings in Civil Revision Application No.902 of 1993, which, however, have been dismissed as not maintainable.

3. Be that as it may, as none appears for the Petitioner, dismissed for non-prosecution. Notice issued to Respondent is discharged.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.